IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

MONDAY, THE 17TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 26TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 27532 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

DR.M.K.MOHANADAS, S/O M.K.KUNJUKUNJU,
AGED 41 YEARS, EMPLOYED AS SENIOR LECTURER
(NEPHROLOGY), MEDICAL COLLEGE, TRIVANDRUM
RESIDING AT PANAYIL LANE, HOUSE NO.3,
KUMARAPURAM, TRIVANDRUM.

BY ADV. SRI.R.S.KALKURA
SRI.M.S.KALESH
SRI.HARISH GOPINATH
SRI.V.VINAY MENON
SRI.M.AJAY (IRUMPANAM)

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
GOVT. OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,
TRIVANDRUM.

2. DIRECTOR OF MEDICAL EDUCATION,
TRIVANDRUM.

3. DR. VINEEHTA, LECTURER IN NEPHROLOGY,
MEDICAL COLLEGE, ALAPPUZHA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. A.J. VARGHESE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 17/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)NO. 27532 OF 2007

DATED THIS THE 17th DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a member of a Schedule Caste Community and is working as Senior Lecturer in Nephrology, Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram. The petitioner apprehends that the petitioner is likely to be transferred from Thiruvananthapuram to accommodate the 3rd respondent. The petitioner submits that in view of G.O.(MS)34/88/P&ARD dated 11.7.1988 the petitioner is entitled to be retained at Thiruvananthapuram since the petitioner's wife is employed at Thiruvananthapuram as a Government Nurse. However, the petitioner would be satisfied with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P3 representation filed by the petitioner in this regard.

2. I have heard the learned Government pleader also. In the nature of the order I propose to pass I do not think it necessary to issue notice to the 3rd respondent.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass

appropriate orders on Ext.P3 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd